



#6/21-1761
4/23/03

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE AS FIRST CLASS MAIL IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, WASHINGTON, DC 20231, ON THE DATE INDICATED BELOW.

BY: [Signature]

DATE: March 27, 2003

PATENT
BOX NON-FEE AMENDMENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re:	Patent Application of	: Group Art Unit: 1761
	Ekkehardt SCHAFER	:
		:
Conf. No.:	6132	:
		:
Appln. No.:	09/749,013	: Examiner: Drew Becker
		:
Filed:	December 27, 2000	:
		: Attorney Docket
For:	PACKAGING WRAP FOR MEAT	: No. 8934-083US
	PRODUCTS	: (18 332)

RECEIVED
APR 04 2003
GROUP 1700

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

This is in response to the Office Action dated March 21, 2003 (Paper No. 5) in the above-identified patent application.

Claims 1-18 are presently pending in the application.

The Examiner has issued a restriction requirement between the invention of Group I (claims 1-14), drawn to a packaging wrap, allegedly classified in class 426, subclass 106, and the invention of Group II (claims 15-18), drawn to a process for making a wrap, allegedly classified in class 53, subclass 396. The Examiner argues that the inventions are related as process of making and product made, and that the packaging wrap could be made by another materially different process, such as without selecting a fibrous foil with an area weight of 15-100 g/m². This requirement is respectfully but strenuously traversed.

Traversal of Requirement

It is submitted that the Examiner's proposed process is not a materially different process. First, the Examiner does not say what that process is, i.e., if it is without a fibrous foil with an area weight of 15-100 g/m², what is it? Second, claim 1 requires a maximum area

weight of about 120 g/m². Therefore, the selected fibrous foil must have at least a somewhat lower area weight to allow for the anti-adhesive coating. All of the other elements are the same in both claims 1 and 15.

Provisional Election

In the event the Examiner maintains the restriction requirement, applicant provisionally elects Group I (claims 1-14), with traverse, for initial examination. An early examination on the merits and allowance of all the claims in the application are respectfully requested.

The Examiner's attention is respectfully directed to the Information Disclosure Statement filed April 29, 2002.

Respectfully submitted,

Ekkehardt SCHAFFER

March 27, 2003
(Date)

By: Sandra M. Katz
SANDRA M. KATZ

Registration No. 51,864

AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER & FELD, L.L.P.

One Commerce Square

2005 Market Street, Suite 2200

Philadelphia, PA 19103-7086

Telephone: (215) 965-1200

Direct Dial: (215) 965-1344

Facsimile: (215) 965-1210

E-Mail: skatz@akingump.com

WWS:SMK:smk